## Approved For Release 2004/12/22 : CIA-RDP82M00591R000200110020-7

## DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE Security Committee

SECOM-D-094

12 February 1980

25X1A

25X1A

MEMORANDUM FOR	: Deputy Director for Policy and Management
FROM:	Deputy Director for Community Affairs
SUBJECT:	Security Education Group

- 1. This morning I had an occasion to speak to a member of the Security Education Group and learned that there is interest in developing what might be described as an inhouse program to increase CI awareness in employees and contractors.
- - 3. The participants in this effort are:
    - The Office of the Secretary of Defense for Counterintelligence Security Policy, Admiral Murphy's office.
    - The security elements of the NSA.
    - The security elements of the Department of State.

The C/CI	Staff, CIA, specifically	
	CI Staff's Hostile Contacts Branch.	

25X1A

25X1A

Regraded UNCLASSIFIED When Attachment Removed

## Approved For Release 2004/12/22 TCIA-RDP82M00591R000200110020-7

-
4. The Security Committee was briefed on this tasking by its FBI representative on November 1979 and without specific response for action endorsed the program. Subsequently, the chairman and I met and discussed a possible role for the Community Security Group. It was determined a that time the Office of Security CIA would be the action element rather than the CSG. This logical determination was based on recognition that OS was better placed to assis in responding for CIA to the FBI's request for information than was the CSG and that the overall effort was better focused in the FBI with its internal U.S. charte and access to what the Hostile Intelligence Services are doing in the U.S. The Security Committee and its Security Education Working Group would be supportive in the implementation phase as the SCC CI/WG might request after consideration of the FBI findings and recommendations. At that state, SECOM withdrew.
5. It appears to me that the OS effort described to me today may benefit from a participation in effort. Certainly, some input should be made by OS to the CIA response to the FBI's request for information about current procedures followed regarding
role for the Community Security Group. It was determined a that time the Office of Security CIA would be the action element rather than the CSG. This logical determination was based on recognition that OS was better placed to assis in responding for CIA to the FBI's request for information than was the CSG and that the overall effort was better focused in the FBI with its internal U.S. charte and access to what the Hostile Intelligence Services are doing in the U.S. The Security Committee and its Security Education Working Group would be supportive in the implementation phase as the SCC CI/WG might request after consideration of the FBI findings and recommendations. At that state, SECOM withdrew.  5. It appears to me that the OS effort described to me today may benefit from a participation in effort. Certainly, some input should be made by OS to the CIA response to the FBI's request for

- 6. It is possible that Mr. Gambino tasked an element in OS other than the Security Education Group and that OS input is already apace. If so, the unilateral efforts by the Security Education Group could still profit from coordination and feedback of actions going on at the Community level
- 7. I will be pleased to discuss this further with the C/SEG.

Attachment

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

Next 5 Page(s) In Document Exempt